Pascalization

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

Pascalization, bridgmanization, high pressure processing (HPP)^[1] or high hydrostatic pressure

(**HHP**) processing^[2] is a method of preserving and sterilizing food, in which a product is processed under very high pressure, leading to the inactivation of certain microorganisms and enzymes in the food.

^[3] The technique was named after Blaise Pascal, a French scientist of the 17th century whose work included detailing the effects of pressure on fluids. During pascalization, more than 50,000 pounds per square inch (340 MPa, 3.4 kbar) may be applied for around fifteen minutes, leading to the inactivation of yeast, mold, and bacteria.^{[4][5]} Pascalization is also known as bridgmanization,^[6] named for physicist Percy Williams Bridgman.^[7]



Uses

Pascalization stops chemical activity caused by microorganisms that play a role in the deterioration of foods. The treatment occurs at low temperatures and does not include the use of food additives. From 1990, some juices, jellies, and jams have been preserved using pascalization in Japan. The technique is now used there to preserve fish and meats, salad dressing, rice cakes, and yogurts. HPP is now being used to preserve fruit and vegetable smoothies and other products such as meat for sale in the UK.^{[8][9]} An early use of pascalization in the United States was to treat guacamole. It did not change the guacamole's taste, texture, or color, but the shelf life of the product increased to thirty days, from three days without the treatment.^[4] However, some treated foods still require cold storage because pascalization does not stop all enzyme activity caused by proteins,^[10] some of which affects shelf life.^[11]

History

Late 1800s

Experiments into the effects of pressure on microorganisms have been recorded as early as 1884,^[1] and successful experiments since 1897. In 1899, B. H. Hite was the first to conclusively demonstrate the inactivation of microorganisms by pressure. After he reported the effects of high pressure on microorganisms, and reports on the effects of pressure on foods quickly followed. Hite tried to prevent milk from spoiling, and his work showed that microorganisms can be deactivated by subjecting it to high pressure. He also mentioned some advantages of pressure-treating foods, such as the lack of antiseptics and no change in taste.^[12]

Hite said that, since 1897, a chemist at the West Virginia Agricultural Experimental Station had been studying the relationship between pressure and the preservation of meats, juices, and milk. Early experiments involved inserting a large screw into a cylinder and keeping it there for several days, but this did not have any effect in stopping the milk from spoiling. Later, a more powerful apparatus was able to subject the milk to higher pressures, and the treated milk was reported to stay sweeter for 24–60 hours longer than untreated milk. When 90 short tons (82 t) of pressure was applied to samples of milk for one hour, they stayed sweet for one week. Unfortunately, the device used to induce pressure was later damaged when researchers tried to test its effects on other products.^[13]

Experiments were also performed with anthrax, typhoid, and tuberculosis, which was a potential health risk for the researchers. Indeed, before the process was improved, one employee of the Experimental Station became ill with typhoid fever.^[13]

The process that Hite reported on was not feasible for widespread use and did not always completely sterilize the milk. While more extensive investigations followed, the original study into milk was largely discontinued due to concerns over its effectiveness. Hite mentioned "certain slow changes in the milk" related to "enzymes that the pressure could not destroy."^[14]

Early 1900s

Hite et al. released a more detailed report on pressure sterilization in 1914, which included the number of microorganisms that remained in a product after treatment. Experiments were conducted on various other foods, including fruits, fruit juices, and some vegetables. They were met with mixed success, similar to the results obtained from the earlier tests on milk. While some foods were preserved, others were not, possibly due to bacterial spores that had not been killed.^[15]

Hite's 1914 investigation led to other studies into the effect of pressure on microorganisms. In 1918, a study published by W. P. Larson et al. was intended to help advance vaccines. This report showed that bacterial spores were not always inactivated by pressure, while vegetative bacteria were usually killed. Larson et al.'s investigation also focused on the use of carbon dioxide, hydrogen, and nitrogen gas pressures. Carbon dioxide was found to be the most effective of the three at inactivating microorganisms.^[16]

Late 1900s-today

Around 1970, researchers renewed their efforts in studying bacterial spores after it was discovered that using moderate pressures was more effective than using higher pressures. These spores, which caused a lack of preservation in the earlier experiments, were inactivated faster by moderate pressure, but in a manner different from what occurred with vegetative microbes. When subjected to moderate pressures, bacterial spores germinate, and the resulting spores are easily killed using pressure, heat, or ionizing radiation.^{[17][18]} If the amount of initial pressure is increased, conditions are not ideal for germination, so the original spores must be killed instead. However, using moderate pressure does not always work, as some bacterial spores are more resistant to germination under pressure^[18] and a small portion of them will survive.^[19] A preservation method using both pressure and another treatment (such as heat) to kill spores has not yet been reliably achieved. Such a technique would allow for wider use of pressure on food and other potential advancements in food preservation.^[20]

Research into the effects of high pressures on microorganisms was largely focused on deep-sea organisms until the 1980s, when advancements in ceramic processing were made. This resulted in the production of machinery that allowed for processing foods at high pressures at a large scale, and generated some interest in the technique, especially in Japan.^[17] Although commercial products preserved by pascalization first emerged in 1990,^[10] the technology behind pascalization is still being perfected for widespread use.^[4] There is now higher demand for minimally processed products than in previous years,^[1] and products preserved by pascalization have seen commercial success despite being priced significantly higher than products treated with standard methods.^[10]

In the early 21st century, it was discovered that pascalization can separate the meat of shellfish from their shells.^[21] Lobsters, shrimp, crabs, etc. may be pascalized, and afterwards their raw meat will simply and easily slide whole right out of the cracked shell.

Process

In pascalization, food products are sealed and placed into a steel compartment containing a liquid, often water, and pumps are used to create pressure. The pumps may apply pressure constantly or intermittently.^[1] The application of high hydrostatic pressures (HHP) on a food product will kill many microorganisms, but the spores of some bacteria may need to be separately treated with acid to prevent their reproduction. Pascalization works especially well on acidic foods, such as yogurts and fruits,^[3] because pressure-tolerant spores are not able to live in environments with low pH levels.^[22] The treatment works equally well for both solid and liquid products.^[1]

During pascalization, the food's proteins are denatured, hydrogen bonds are fortified, and noncovalent bonds in the food are disrupted, while the product's main structure remains intact.^[3] Because pascalization is not heat-based, covalent bonds are not affected, causing no change in the food's taste.^[23] High hydrostatic pressure can affect muscle tissues by increasing the rate of lipid oxidation,^[24] which in turn leads to poor flavor and decreased health benefits.^[25]

Because hydrostatic pressure is able to act quickly and evenly on food, neither the size of a product's container nor its thickness play a role in the effectiveness of pascalization. There are several side effects of the process, including a slight increase in a product's sweetness, but pascalization does not greatly affect the nutritional value, taste, texture, and appearance. As a result, high pressure treatment of foods

is regarded as a "natural" preservation method, as it does not use chemical preservatives.^[17]

Criticism

Anurag Sharma, a geochemist, James Scott, a microbiologist, and others at the Carnegie Institution of

Washington directly observed microbial activity at pressures in excess of 1 gigapascal.^[26] The experiments were performed up to 1.6 GPa (232,000 psi) of pressure, which is more than 16,000 times normal air pressure, or about 14 times the pressure in the deepest ocean trench.

The experiment began by depositing an *Escherichia coli* and *Shewanella oneidensis* film in a Diamond Anvil Cell (DAC). The pressure was then raised to 1.6 GPa. When raised to this pressure and kept there for 30 hours, at least 1% of the bacteria survived. The experimenters then monitored formate metabolism using in-situ Raman spectroscopy and showed that formate metabolism continued in the bacterial sample.

Moreover, 1.6 GPa is such great pressure that during the experiment the DAC turned the solution into ice-IV, a room-temperature ice. When the bacteria broke down the formate in the ice, liquid pockets would form because of the chemical reaction.^[27]

There was some skepticism of this experiment. According to Art Yayanos, an oceanographer at the Scripps Institute of Oceanography, an organism should only be considered living if it can reproduce. Another issue with the DAC experiment is that when high pressures occur, there are usually high temperatures present as well, but in this experiment there were not. This experiment was performed at room-temperature. However, the intentional lack of high temperature in the experiments isolated the actual effects of pressure on life and results clearly indicated life to be largely pressure insensitive.^[27]

Newer results from independent research groups ^[28] have confirmed Sharma et al. (2002).^[26] This is a significant step that reiterates the need for a new approach to the old problem of studying environmental extremes through experiments. There is practically no debate whether microbial life can survive pressures up to 600 MPa, which has been shown over the last decade or so to be valid through a number of scattered publications.^[26]

Consumer acceptance of pascalization

In the consumer studies of Hightech Europe consumers mentioned more positive than negative associations descriptions for this technology showing that these products are well accepted.^[29]

See also

Physical factors affecting microbial life

- Thermization
- Orders of magnitude (pressure)

References

Notes

- 1. Jay, Loessner & Golden 2005, p. 457
- 2. "FDA". Retrieved 5 September 2016.
- 3. Brown 2007, p. 547
- 4. Brown 2007, p. 546
- 5. Adams & Moss 2007, p. 55
- Heremans, Karel; Smeller, L. (August 18, 1998). "Protein structure and dynamics at high pressure". *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*. 1386: 353–370. doi:10.1016/S0167-4838(98)00102-2.
- 7. Oliveira & Oliveira 1999, p. 335
- 8. [1] (http://savse.com/our-story/)
- 9. [2] (http://www.sealpac-uk.com/HPP.html)
- 10. Fellows 2000, p. 217
- 11. Adams & Moss 2007, p. 80
- 12. Hendrickx & Knorr 2002, p. 13
- 13. Hendrickx & Knorr 2002, p. 14
- 14. Hendrickx & Knorr 2002, pp. 14–15
- 15. Hendrickx & Knorr 2002, p. 15
- 16. Hendrickx & Knorr 2002, p. 16
- 17. Adams & Moss 2007, p. 94
- 18. Hendrickx & Knorr 2002, p. 17
- Smelt, Jan P. P. M. (April 1998). "Recent advances in the microbiology of high pressure processing". *Trends in Food Science & Technology*. 9 (4): 152–158. doi:10.1016/S0924-2244(98)00030-2.
- 20. Hendrickx & Knorr 2002, p. 18

Bibliography

- Adams, M. R.; Moss, M. O. (2007). Food Microbiology. New Age International. ISBN 978-81-224-1014-3.
- Brown, Amy Christian (2007). Understanding Food: Principles and Preparation (3 ed.). Cengage Learning. ISBN 978-0-495-10745-3.
- Fellows, Peter (2000). Food Processing Technology: Principles and Practice (2 ed.). CRC Press. ISBN 978-0-8493-0887-1.
- Hendrickx, Marc E. G.; Knorr, Dietrich W. (2002). Ultra High Pressure Treatments of Foods. Springer. ISBN 978-0-306-47278-7.

- "High-tech process "shucks" Maine lobster, competes with Canadians". Workingwaterfront.com. Retrieved 2014-03-19.
- 22. Adams & Moss 2007, pp. 94-95
- 23. Jay, Loessner & Golden 2005, p. 458
- Ohshima, Toshiaki; Ushio, Hideki; Koizumi, Chiaki (November 1993). "High-pressure processing of fish and fish products". *Trends in Food Science & Technology*. 4 (11): 370–375. doi:10.1016/0924-2244(93)90019-7.
- 25. Henry & Chapman 2002, p. 442
- 26. Sharma, A.; et al. (2002). "Microbial activity at Gigapascal pressures". *Science*. 295 (5559): 1514 –1516. Bibcode:2002Sci...295.1514S. doi:10.1126/science.1068018. PMID 11859192.
- 27. Couzin, J. (2002). "Weight of the world on microbes' shoulders". *Science*. 295 (5559): 1444 –1445. doi:10.1126/science.295.5559.1444b. PMID 11859165.
- Vanlinit, D.; et al. (2011). "Rapid Acquisition of Gigapascal-High-Pressure Resistance by Escherichia coli". *mBio*. 2 (1): e00130–10. doi:10.1128/mBio.00130-10. PMC 3025523 . PMID 21264062.
- 29. "Documents". Hightecheurope.eu. Retrieved 2014-03-19.
 - Henry, C. J. K.; Chapman, Clare (2002). *The Nutrition Handbook for Food Processors*. CRC Press. ISBN 978-0-8493-1543-5.
 - Jay, James Monroe; Loessner, Martin J.; Golden, David Allen (2005). *Modern Food Microbiology* (7 ed.). Springer. ISBN 978-0-387-23180-8.
 - Oliveira, Fernanda A. R.; Oliveira, Jorge C. (1999). Processing Foods: Quality Optimization and Process Assessment. CRC Press. ISBN 978-0-8493-7905-5.

Retrieved from "https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Pascalization&oldid=756423693"

Categories: Food preservation | Pressure

- This page was last modified on 24 December 2016, at 04:01.
- Text is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License; additional terms may apply. By using this site, you agree to the Terms of Use and Privacy Policy. Wikipedia® is a registered trademark of the Wikimedia Foundation, Inc., a non-profit organization.